



Dr. -Ing. Giok Dijen Go

D-65510 Idstein, 09/03/2001  
Pfahlgrabenstraße 45  
phone/fax +49 6126 8949  
gdgpat/pat2/pct-us

49206  
#31  
3612

Registered

Deputy Commissioner of Patent Examination Policy  
US Department of Commerce  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Box DAC  
Washington DC 20231

RECEIVED

SEP 17 2001

703600 MAIL ROOM

Dear Sirs.

May I revise the decision of Mr Gerald Goldberg in page 4 please:

E13	10/08/99	4th OAS confirming the approval on the amended Claims and Abstract (E10, E11)	USPTO
E14	10/17/99	Fax disclosing no objection of British Patent Office to the translation (E21)	Go to Morrow
E15	10/29/99	Fax to show of how to amend the Description	Morrow
E16	11/04/99	Amended Description faxed to Morrow's Office	Go to Morrow
E17a-c	11/05/99	Amended Description faxed to Morrow's Office responsive to Morrow's Fax	Go to Morrow and Morrow to Go
E18	11/05/99	Registered submittal of the amended Appl. (Description, Claims and Abstract)	Go to Morrow

I did have responded, *within the deadline of Nov. 8*, to the 4th OAS (Office Action Summary) of Oct. 8, 1999, listed as E13 ref. to above-mentioned Table of Chronological Enclosures, by faxing the statement of British Patent Office, listed as E14, on Oct. 17 and the amended Description, listed as E16 and E17a-c, on Nov. 4 and 5. Furthermore, I submitted the amended Appl. (Description, Claims and Abstract) on Nov. 5. *Beyond doubt, the 2nd submittal was within the deadline of Nov. 8, unfortunately, delivered to USPTO by mail on Nov. 9.*

His letter dated July 26, 2001 was delivered on Aug., 2. However, the letter of Mr Morrow dated Aug., 1, in which a three-month statutory period is set, was delivered on Aug., 25. If the statutory period were valid for one month, response thereto could never be submitted in time! This long mail delivery for 24 days happened for the second time. At the first time I phoned Mr Pedder to express my objection thereto.

European, German, French, British and other Patent Offices reckon on the mailing day of submittals because *postal service can be on strike*. May I make you a proposition that in the future a statutory period be extended by the time for mail-delivery back and forth, which usually consumes two weeks. *If the mail-delivery takes a very long time, I have to phone and/or fax the examiner or USPTO.*

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Dr. -Ing. Giok Dijen Go  
Pfahlgrabenstr. 45  
D-65510 Idstein  
Germany

Unavoidable delay resulted from the confusion, when reading the 5th OAS of 04/06/2000, which contradicts to the 4th OAS, the preparation for the submittal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, the time to wait for a decision, where I had already completed the response, incl. a marked-up copy, thereto, and the common procedure, practised by the above-mentioned Patent Offices, of not interfering therein by forwarding that response.

Herewith, I file in all papers incl. a response to the 5th OAS of 04/06/2000, marked-up copy, Statement of inventor, declaring that new matters; features and figures are included, Notice of Allowability, listing the amended Figs., petition and check of \$ 55, required for filing a petition under unavoidable delay and small entity.

I would appreciate if you would help open an account by forwarding the attached letters to the Account and Financial Dept. and recommending the officers therefor.  
Please forward the letter to Mrs. Paulette Kidwell Paralegal.

Thank you very much in advance for your help.

kind regards

*Gazizadeh*

Go

Attached:

Letter to the Account and Financial Dept

Description "An increased stiffness of vehicle structure" and claims with/without reference numeral in normal space, double space

Marked-up copy, Statement of inventor, Notice of Allowability, Erroneous Figs., Objected phrases used in Claims

Check of \$ 55

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Dr.-Ing. Gök Dijen G.  
Pfahlgrabenstr. 45  
D-65510 Idstein  
Germany



Statement of inventor

Appl. No. 08/860,182  
Filed: June 22, 1997

Herewith I under oath declare that the substitute specification, being changed, contains neither new matters nor new features nor figures. The correction of some figures, deletions and new materials were done in order to oppose the objections, listed in five Office Action Summaries, meet the US-Patent rules and expound the superiority of the inventions over the opposed prior art such US 5,806,917 (Townsend), 4,307,911 (Pavlik) etc. as well as the solution for the shortcomings thereof.

*Go Giok Djien*

Giok Djien Go  
Pfahlgrabenstraße 45  
D-65510 Idstein  
Germany  
phone/fax +49 6126 8949

Dr.-Ing. Giok Djien Go  
Pfahlgrabenstr. 45  
D-65510 Idstein  
Germany

Notice of Allowability

Appl. No. 08/860,182  
Submittal of all Figs.

<i>Figs.</i>	<i>new references</i>	<i>amended references</i>
1	8h, 8T, 20.1T, 21T	
1A, 1B, 2, 2A, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 10A, 11, 12, 13, 18	Prior Art	
18	21h, 20.1h, 21x	
8	8.17, 8.18	
14		30, 6.5
15	-X <sub>n</sub> , Y <sub>p</sub> , Y <sub>n</sub>	

Figs. of all pages remain unchanged.  
References of the page 2/10, 3/10 and 9/10 remain unchanged.

*Go Giok Djien*

Giok Djien Go  
Pfahlgrabenstraße 45  
D-65510 Idstein  
Germany  
phone/fax +49 6126 8949

Dr.-Ing. Giok Djien Go  
Pfahlgrabenstr. 45  
D-65510 Idstein  
Germany

RECEIVED

OCT 17 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS